

THE WASHINGTON HERALD

Published Every Morning in the Year by
THE WASHINGTON HERALD COMPANY.

Publication Office:
724 FIFTEENTH STREET NORTHWEST.

Entered as second-class matter, October 3, 1894, at
the post-office at Washington, D. C., under act of
Congress of March 3, 1879.

SCOTT C. BONE, Editor.

James H. Merrill, Treasurer and Business Manager
Charles C. Ashbalt, Advertising Manager
J. H. Cunningham, Auditor
Charles C. Thompson, Mechanical Superintendent

Telephone Main 3522. (Private Branch Exchange.)

The Washington Herald is delivered by
carrier in the District of Columbia and at
Alexandria, Va., at 35 cents per month,
daily and Sunday, or at 25 cents per
month without the Sunday issue.

Subscription Rates by Mail.

Daily and Sunday, 35 cents per month.
Daily and Sunday, \$4.00 per year.
Daily, without Sunday, 25 cents per month.
Daily, without Sunday, \$3.00 per year.

No attention will be paid to anonymous
contributions, and no communications to
the editor will be printed except over the
name of the writer.

Manuscripts offered for publication will
be returned if unavailable, but stamps
should be sent with the manuscript for
that purpose.

All communications intended for this
newspaper, whether for the daily or the
Sunday issue, should be addressed to
THE WASHINGTON HERALD.

New York Office, Nassau-Bleeker Bldg., LaCote &
Maxwell, Managers.

Chicago Office, Marquette Bldg., LaCote &
Maxwell, Managers.

THURSDAY, MAY 2, 1907.

Our New Tariff Policy.

The difficulties of tariff administration
are again exemplified by the dissatisfaction
of certain French exporting interests
with the valuations placed on their goods
by American customs appraisers.

As pointed out some time ago, the
amount of protection afforded by a given
tariff duty, and we might also add, the
amount of obstruction to foreign com-
merce imposed by it, depends largely on
the valuation of the imports on which
duties are collected. The whole difference
between a prohibitive tariff and a protective
or a revenue tariff may be a matter
of inches and valuations. This fact has
been taken advantage of in the tariff
negotiations with Germany, as a result
of which that country has been granted
concessions in the way of favorable valua-
tions amounting to a reduction of duties.
It could hardly have been expected that
other nations would regard these tariff
favors to Germany from an entirely dis-
interested point of view, and the protest
of the French government is a perfectly
natural sequel.

That the American government should
grant such concessions to Germany and be
willing to consider granting similar
concessions to France indicates a marked
change of front from the uncompromising
position taken by Secretary Shaw when
the same questions were before him. His
policy was to collect the largest revenue
possible by maintaining high valuations,
and to protect American manufacturers
to the fullest extent of the law. This
policy has been very considerably mod-
ified in the case of Germany, and it
seems possible that a corresponding
modification may be made in our treat-
ment of French imports. It is a most
significant change of front, and we do not
wonder that the standpatters stand
aghast at it. The only consolation they
are able to get out of it is that as long
as foreign complaints of our tariff sys-
tem can be pacified by administrative mea-
sures the tariff question will be kept out
of Congress, and the form of the sacred
Dingley bill preserved, however dim-
inished in substance.

Spring is now sixty-six and two-thirds
per cent of a complete failure.

Money-making and Money-makers.

That the accumulation of riches in the
United States is not difficult when one
only knows how and sets himself to the
task, is again illustrated in the case of
the late James H. Eckels. Ten years
ago Mr. Eckels was Controller of the
currency in Washington without any
other income than his modest salary. He
had been called to the Cleveland admin-
istration from a small country town in
Illinois, where he had a law practice that
barely yielded him a comfortable living
for himself and family. From his official
position in Washington he went to the
head of a trust company in Chicago,
financed by some of the big money-
makers of the Western metropolis. Mr.
Eckels was a liberal spender. He was in
no sense of the term a money-grubber.
His gifts to charity were notably large in
proportion to his income. He probably
spent every year more than he saved.
Yet it is announced that an inventory of
his estate shows that it is worth at least
\$200,000. His wealth was accumulated
honestly. Nobody could question that
who knew Mr. Eckels. He did not grind
the faces of the poor. He swindled no
people by get-rich-quick schemes. But in
years he accumulated an estate, in
spite of his more than liberal expendi-
tures, which two generations ago would
have rated him among the rich men of
the country. In these days the estate he
left is not reckoned a fortune. Estates
now have to inventory well into the mil-
lions to be classified as fortunes.

But many another man in this country
who started at the same time as Mr.
Eckels, and with as small an amount of
the world's goods, has heaped up mil-
lions and is continuing that process. Our
millions have gone from affluence to
poverty. Whether our prosperity has
been evenly distributed has no place in
this discussion. It belongs to a category
of questions with which the politicians
and the economists can deal to their
hearts' content.

We do not profess to know whether
money-making is an art, a science, or a
trick. Certain it is that in most cases it
requires constant application. If one sets
his mind to money-making one must fore-
go most of the other pleasures of life,
such as keeping up with the best in
literature, in art, in science, in philosophy,
intellectual and moral enjoyment. It
goes without saying, of course, that one
who attunes his mind to the tinkle of
gold must banish from his inclination all
joys of the sybarite. These he can lure
after his bank account is within hailing

distance of his ambition, but until it
reaches that point he must avoid, as he
must the plague, every form of sybaritic
indulgence. Whether, after all, the fierce
game of money-making "pays" or not, we
leave to the judgment of everybody who
may have thought about it in this way.
We have heard some very rich men re-
treat that they were burdened with wealth.
But Alexander wept when there were no
more worlds to conquer, and was utterly
miserable the remainder of his days upon
this mundane sphere.

It is gratifying to know that the new
governor of New Mexico is a Rough
Rider. It would be distressing to think
that there could be one of these gentlemen
officious and unprovided for.

Engineer Commissioner of District.

The personal regret universally felt on
account of the retirement of Maj. John
Biddle from the position of Engineer Com-
missioner of the District is tempered by
the general satisfaction caused by the
choice of his successor, Capt. Jay J.
Morrow, now the Assistant Commissioner.
It would be difficult to find among the
junior officers of the army two more
capable men. Maj. Biddle has seen the
District most acceptably. The five years
or more of his Commissionership have
covered a period of wonderful expansion
and development here at the Capital, and
thus he has been identified with many
important projects. For a good portion
of this time he and Capt. Morrow have
worked hand in hand. The retention and
promotion of the latter, therefore, natu-
rally subserves the District's best mat-
terial interests. The office—one of great
responsibility, and calling for full occu-
pation of mind and body—does not pass
from the hands of an experienced man
to those of a novice. Capt. Morrow is
thoroughly familiar with our affairs. He
has shown marked capacity and efficiency.
He is practical in the highest degree, a
man of action, and the sort of official
who can be depended upon always to
render good service. His appointment is
commendable and acceptable in every
phase.

Maj. Biddle will take with him to the
Pacific Coast the good will and well
wishes of Washington people, who will
likewise heartily accord both in fullest
measure to his successor.

That enterprising gentleman who re-
cently proposed to elect Mr. Roosevelt
to the presidency while he is doing the
same who advocated kicking him in the
White House "until the Panama Canal
is completed."

Enacting the "Unwritten Law."

Lewis H. Machen, of Alexandria, Va.,
a member of the Virginia State senate,
advocates, in the current number of the
Independent, the enactment of the so-
called unwritten law into the statutory
code in order that juries may acquit,
without violating their oaths, persons ac-
cused of homicide committed in revenge
for wrong upon the person of a woman.
Mr. Machen assumes that "the com-
mon sense of mankind fully justifies him-
self in the invasion of martial rights or an
attack upon the virtue of the innocent;"
that juries will not convict for murder
done under such a provocation, but will
ignore the law and the judge's charge
and the sanctity of their own oaths; and
that, because of this, reverence for the
jury system is diminished and "the whole
administration of criminal law falls under
suspicion, if not reproach." Mr. Machen
says:

"It is perfectly well understood throughout
the civilized world that where a homicide is
committed under the provocation of an attack upon the sanctity
of the home there is no possibility of any consider-
able punishment. Yet comparatively few homicides
result from such causes. In cases in which they do
result officers of the law are frequently reluctant to
prosecute; witnesses fail to remember or deliberately
misstate facts; medical experts testify that the ac-
cused was the victim of emotional insanity which
disappeared as soon as the cause was removed, and
juries ignore the plain instructions of the courts.
The law suffers by being ignored or trampled upon,
but the cause of morality is not advanced.

"It is no time that lawmakers and judges were
recognizing the fact that in such cases juries will
invariably consider the sufficiency of the provocation,
and, if, in their judgment, the provocation is
sufficient they will find verdicts of acquittal. Would
any sane man, therefore, to deprive the law of its
right to determine the adequacy of the provocation? It
is a right which they do not hesitate to exercise.
Would anything be lost to the cause of order by
conceding this right to them as a matter of law?"

Mr. Machen therefore proposes a statute
authorizing the jury to acquit where
provocation is deemed sufficient to justify
crime committed in retaliation for a
wrong upon the person of a woman. He
points out that several States have taken
steps in this direction, one of them,
Texas, having made the killing of another
by an aggrieved husband, under certain
circumstances, a justifiable homicide. To
the objection most frequently offered to
such a statute as he proposes, that "men
might be encouraged by such a law to
kill persons upon slight suspicion," Mr.
Machen replies that "the statute sug-
gested would be restricted in its ap-
plication to cases in which the provocation
shall have been proven to be real. Under
such a statute no man would be permitted
to act rashly upon mere suspicion or
hearsay and claim justification. Such a
claim is made in just such cases under
the practice now prevailing." Mr. Machen
points out that testimony showing pro-
vocation can now be admitted only by in-
direct or subterfuge, and when intro-
duced is usually rebutted by the State;
whereas, if the provocation "was a mat-
ter which might be lawfully considered
by the State would be permitted to show
that in fact no such provocation existed."

It is not to be denied that Mr. Machen
has made out a very good case for some
modification of the practice of the courts
in the trial of persons for crimes involv-
ing the protection of women. We should
regard it, however, a more serious ob-
jection than any he has considered and
answered that such a statute as he pro-
poses would be a standing invitation to
murder, whereas, if the provocation "was a mat-
ter which might be lawfully considered
by the State would be permitted to show
that in fact no such provocation existed."

But many another man in this country
who started at the same time as Mr.
Eckels, and with as small an amount of
the world's goods, has heaped up mil-
lions and is continuing that process. Our
millions have gone from affluence to
poverty. Whether our prosperity has
been evenly distributed has no place in
this discussion. It belongs to a category
of questions with which the politicians
and the economists can deal to their
hearts' content.

We do not profess to know whether
money-making is an art, a science, or a
trick. Certain it is that in most cases it
requires constant application. If one sets
his mind to money-making one must fore-
go most of the other pleasures of life,
such as keeping up with the best in
literature, in art, in science, in philosophy,
intellectual and moral enjoyment. It
goes without saying, of course, that one
who attunes his mind to the tinkle of
gold must banish from his inclination all
joys of the sybarite. These he can lure
after his bank account is within hailing

distance of his ambition, but until it
reaches that point he must avoid, as he
must the plague, every form of sybaritic
indulgence. Whether, after all, the fierce
game of money-making "pays" or not, we
leave to the judgment of everybody who
may have thought about it in this way.
We have heard some very rich men re-
treat that they were burdened with wealth.
But Alexander wept when there were no
more worlds to conquer, and was utterly
miserable the remainder of his days upon
this mundane sphere.

It is gratifying to know that the new
governor of New Mexico is a Rough
Rider. It would be distressing to think
that there could be one of these gentlemen
officious and unprovided for.

Engineer Commissioner of District.

The personal regret universally felt on
account of the retirement of Maj. John
Biddle from the position of Engineer Com-
missioner of the District is tempered by
the general satisfaction caused by the
choice of his successor, Capt. Jay J.
Morrow, now the Assistant Commissioner.
It would be difficult to find among the
junior officers of the army two more
capable men. Maj. Biddle has seen the
District most acceptably. The five years
or more of his Commissionership have
covered a period of wonderful expansion
and development here at the Capital, and
thus he has been identified with many
important projects. For a good portion
of this time he and Capt. Morrow have
worked hand in hand. The retention and
promotion of the latter, therefore, natu-
rally subserves the District's best mat-
terial interests. The office—one of great
responsibility, and calling for full occu-
pation of mind and body—does not pass
from the hands of an experienced man
to those of a novice. Capt. Morrow is
thoroughly familiar with our affairs. He
has shown marked capacity and efficiency.
He is practical in the highest degree, a
man of action, and the sort of official
who can be depended upon always to
render good service. His appointment is
commendable and acceptable in every
phase.

Enacting the "Unwritten Law."

Lewis H. Machen, of Alexandria, Va.,
a member of the Virginia State senate,
advocates, in the current number of the
Independent, the enactment of the so-
called unwritten law into the statutory
code in order that juries may acquit,
without violating their oaths, persons ac-
cused of homicide committed in revenge
for wrong upon the person of a woman.
Mr. Machen assumes that "the com-
mon sense of mankind fully justifies him-
self in the invasion of martial rights or an
attack upon the virtue of the innocent;"
that juries will not convict for murder
done under such a provocation, but will
ignore the law and the judge's charge
and the sanctity of their own oaths; and
that, because of this, reverence for the
jury system is diminished and "the whole
administration of criminal law falls under
suspicion, if not reproach." Mr. Machen
says:

"It is perfectly well understood throughout
the civilized world that where a homicide is
committed under the provocation of an attack upon the sanctity
of the home there is no possibility of any consider-
able punishment. Yet comparatively few homicides
result from such causes. In cases in which they do
result officers of the law are frequently reluctant to
prosecute; witnesses fail to remember or deliberately
misstate facts; medical experts testify that the ac-
cused was the victim of emotional insanity which
disappeared as soon as the cause was removed, and
juries ignore the plain instructions of the courts.
The law suffers by being ignored or trampled upon,
but the cause of morality is not advanced.

"It is no time that lawmakers and judges were
recognizing the fact that in such cases juries will
invariably consider the sufficiency of the provocation,
and, if, in their judgment, the provocation is
sufficient they will find verdicts of acquittal. Would
any sane man, therefore, to deprive the law of its
right to determine the adequacy of the provocation? It
is a right which they do not hesitate to exercise.
Would anything be lost to the cause of order by
conceding this right to them as a matter of law?"

Mr. Machen therefore proposes a statute
authorizing the jury to acquit where
provocation is deemed sufficient to justify
crime committed in retaliation for a
wrong upon the person of a woman. He
points out that several States have taken
steps in this direction, one of them,
Texas, having made the killing of another
by an aggrieved husband, under certain
circumstances, a justifiable homicide. To
the objection most frequently offered to
such a statute as he proposes, that "men
might be encouraged by such a law to
kill persons upon slight suspicion," Mr.
Machen replies that "the statute sug-
gested would be restricted in its ap-
plication to cases in which the provocation
shall have been proven to be real. Under
such a statute no man would be permitted
to act rashly upon mere suspicion or
hearsay and claim justification. Such a
claim is made in just such cases under
the practice now prevailing." Mr. Machen
points out that testimony showing pro-
vocation can now be admitted only by in-
direct or subterfuge, and when intro-
duced is usually rebutted by the State;
whereas, if the provocation "was a mat-
ter which might be lawfully considered
by the State would be permitted to show
that in fact no such provocation existed."

It is not to be denied that Mr. Machen
has made out a very good case for some
modification of the practice of the courts
in the trial of persons for crimes involv-
ing the protection of women. We should
regard it, however, a more serious ob-
jection than any he has considered and
answered that such a statute as he pro-
poses would be a standing invitation to
murder, whereas, if the provocation "was a mat-
ter which might be lawfully considered
by the State would be permitted to show
that in fact no such provocation existed."

But many another man in this country
who started at the same time as Mr.
Eckels, and with as small an amount of
the world's goods, has heaped up mil-
lions and is continuing that process. Our
millions have gone from affluence to
poverty. Whether our prosperity has
been evenly distributed has no place in
this discussion. It belongs to a category
of questions with which the politicians
and the economists can deal to their
hearts' content.

We do not profess to know whether
money-making is an art, a science, or a
trick. Certain it is that in most cases it
requires constant application. If one sets
his mind to money-making one must fore-
go most of the other pleasures of life,
such as keeping up with the best in
literature, in art, in science, in philosophy,
intellectual and moral enjoyment. It
goes without saying, of course, that one
who attunes his mind to the tinkle of
gold must banish from his inclination all
joys of the sybarite. These he can lure
after his bank account is within hailing

distance of his ambition, but until it
reaches that point he must avoid, as he
must the plague, every form of sybaritic
indulgence. Whether, after all, the fierce
game of money-making "pays" or not, we
leave to the judgment of everybody who
may have thought about it in this way.
We have heard some very rich men re-
treat that they were burdened with wealth.
But Alexander wept when there were no
more worlds to conquer, and was utterly
miserable the remainder of his days upon
this mundane sphere.

It is gratifying to know that the new
governor of New Mexico is a Rough
Rider. It would be distressing to think
that there could be one of these gentlemen
officious and unprovided for.

Engineer Commissioner of District.

The personal regret universally felt on
account of the retirement of Maj. John
Biddle from the position of Engineer Com-
missioner of the District is tempered by
the general satisfaction caused by the
choice of his successor, Capt. Jay J.
Morrow, now the Assistant Commissioner.
It would be difficult to find among the
junior officers of the army two more
capable men. Maj. Biddle has seen the
District most acceptably. The five years
or more of his Commissionership have
covered a period of wonderful expansion
and development here at the Capital, and
thus he has been identified with many
important projects. For a good portion
of this time he and Capt. Morrow have
worked hand in hand. The retention and
promotion of the latter, therefore, natu-
rally subserves the District's best mat-
terial interests. The office—one of great
responsibility, and calling for full occu-
pation of mind and body—does not pass
from the hands of an experienced man
to those of a novice. Capt. Morrow is
thoroughly familiar with our affairs. He
has shown marked capacity and efficiency.
He is practical in the highest degree, a
man of action, and the sort of official
who can be depended upon always to
render good service. His appointment is
commendable and acceptable in every
phase.

Enacting the "Unwritten Law."

Lewis H. Machen, of Alexandria, Va.,
a member of the Virginia State senate,
advocates, in the current number of the
Independent, the enactment of the so-
called unwritten law into the statutory
code in order that juries may acquit,
without violating their oaths, persons ac-
cused of homicide committed in revenge
for wrong upon the person of a woman.
Mr. Machen assumes that "the com-
mon sense of mankind fully justifies him-
self in the invasion of martial rights or an
attack upon the virtue of the innocent;"
that juries will not convict for murder
done under such a provocation, but will
ignore the law and the judge's charge
and the sanctity of their own oaths; and
that, because of this, reverence for the
jury system is diminished and "the whole
administration of criminal law falls under
suspicion, if not reproach." Mr. Machen
says:

"It is perfectly well understood throughout
the civilized world that where a homicide is
committed under the provocation of an attack upon the sanctity
of the home there is no possibility of any consider-
able punishment. Yet comparatively few homicides
result from such causes. In cases in which they do
result officers of the law are frequently reluctant to
prosecute; witnesses fail to remember or deliberately
misstate facts; medical experts testify that the ac-
cused was the victim of emotional insanity which
disappeared as soon as the cause was removed, and
juries ignore the plain instructions of the courts.
The law suffers by being ignored or trampled upon,
but the cause of morality is not advanced.

"It is no time that lawmakers and judges were
recognizing the fact that in such cases juries will
invariably consider the sufficiency of the provocation,
and, if, in their judgment, the provocation is
sufficient they will find verdicts of acquittal. Would
any sane man, therefore, to deprive the law of its
right to determine the adequacy of the provocation? It
is a right which they do not hesitate to exercise.
Would anything be lost to the cause of order by
conceding this right to them as a matter of law?"

Mr. Machen therefore proposes a statute
authorizing the jury to acquit where
provocation is deemed sufficient to justify
crime committed in retaliation for a
wrong upon the person of a woman. He
points out that several States have taken
steps in this direction, one of them,
Texas, having made the killing of another
by an aggrieved husband, under certain
circumstances, a justifiable homicide. To
the objection most frequently offered to
such a statute as he proposes, that "men
might be encouraged by such a law to
kill persons upon slight suspicion," Mr.
Machen replies that "the statute sug-
gested would be restricted in its ap-
plication to cases in which the provocation
shall have been proven to be real. Under
such a statute no man would be permitted
to act rashly upon mere suspicion or
hearsay and claim justification. Such a
claim is made in just such cases under
the practice now prevailing." Mr. Machen
points out that testimony showing pro-
vocation can now be admitted only by in-
direct or subterfuge, and when intro-
duced is usually rebutted by the State;
whereas, if the provocation "was a mat-
ter which might be lawfully considered
by the State would be permitted to show
that in fact no such provocation existed."

It is not to be denied that Mr. Machen
has made out a very good case for some
modification of the practice of the courts
in the trial of persons for crimes involv-
ing the protection of women. We should
regard it, however, a more serious ob-
jection than any he has considered and
answered that such a statute as he pro-
poses would be a standing invitation to
murder, whereas, if the provocation "was a mat-
ter which might be lawfully considered
by the State would be permitted to show
that in fact no such provocation existed."

distance of his ambition, but until it
reaches that point he must avoid, as he
must the plague, every form of sybaritic
indulgence. Whether, after all, the fierce
game of money-making "pays" or not, we
leave to the judgment of everybody who
may have thought about it in this way.
We have heard some very rich men re-
treat that they were burdened with wealth.
But Alexander wept when there were no
more worlds to conquer, and was utterly
miserable the remainder of his days upon
this mundane sphere.

It is gratifying to know that the new
governor of New Mexico is a Rough
Rider. It would be distressing to think
that there could be one of these gentlemen
officious and unprovided for.

Engineer Commissioner of District.

The personal regret universally felt on
account of the retirement of Maj. John
Biddle from the position of Engineer Com-
missioner of the District is tempered by
the general satisfaction caused by the
choice of his successor, Capt. Jay J.
Morrow, now the Assistant Commissioner.
It would be difficult to find among the
junior officers of the army two more
capable men. Maj. Biddle has seen the
District most acceptably. The five years
or more of his Commissionership have
covered a period of wonderful expansion
and development here at the Capital, and
thus he has been identified with many
important projects. For a good portion
of this time he and Capt. Morrow have
worked hand in hand. The retention and
promotion of the latter, therefore, natu-
rally subserves the District's best mat-
terial interests. The office—one of great
responsibility, and calling for full occu-
pation of mind and body—does not pass
from the hands of an experienced man
to those of a novice. Capt. Morrow is
thoroughly familiar with our affairs. He
has shown marked capacity and efficiency.
He is practical in the highest degree, a
man of action, and the sort of official
who can be depended upon always to
render good service. His appointment is
commendable and acceptable in every
phase.

Enacting the "Unwritten Law."

Lewis H. Machen, of Alexandria, Va.,
a member of the Virginia State senate,
advocates, in the current number of the
Independent, the enactment of the so-
called unwritten law into the statutory
code in order that juries may acquit,
without violating their oaths, persons ac-
cused of homicide committed in revenge
for wrong upon the person of a woman.
Mr. Machen assumes that "the com-
mon sense of mankind fully justifies him-
self in the invasion of martial rights or an
attack upon the virtue of the innocent;"
that juries will not convict for murder
done under such a provocation, but will
ignore the law and the judge's charge
and the sanctity of their own oaths; and
that, because of this, reverence for the
jury system is diminished and "the whole
administration of criminal law falls under
suspicion, if not reproach." Mr. Machen
says:

"It is perfectly well understood throughout
the civilized world that where a homicide is
committed under the provocation of an attack upon the sanctity
of the home there is no possibility of any consider-
able punishment. Yet comparatively few homicides
result from such causes. In cases in which they do
result officers of the law are frequently reluctant to
prosecute; witnesses fail to remember or deliberately
misstate facts; medical experts testify that the ac-
cused was the victim of emotional insanity which
disappeared as soon as the cause was removed, and
juries ignore the plain instructions of the courts.
The law suffers by being ignored or trampled upon,
but the cause of morality is not advanced.

"It is no time that lawmakers and judges were
recognizing the fact that in such cases juries will
invariably consider the sufficiency of the provocation,
and, if, in their judgment, the provocation is
sufficient they will find verdicts of acquittal. Would
any sane man, therefore, to deprive the law of its
right to determine the adequacy of the provocation? It
is a right which they do not hesitate to exercise.
Would anything be lost to the cause of order by
conceding this right to them as a matter of law?"

Mr. Machen therefore proposes a statute
authorizing the jury to acquit where
provocation is deemed sufficient to justify
crime committed in retaliation for a
wrong upon the person of a woman. He
points out that several States have taken
steps in this direction, one of them,
Texas, having made the killing of another
by an aggrieved husband, under certain
circumstances, a justifiable homicide. To
the objection most frequently offered to
such a statute as he proposes, that "men
might be encouraged by such a law to
kill persons upon slight suspicion," Mr.
Machen replies that "the statute sug-
gested would be restricted in its ap-
plication to cases in which the provocation
shall have been proven to be real. Under
such a statute no man would be permitted
to act rashly upon mere suspicion or
hearsay and claim justification. Such a
claim is made in just such cases under
the practice now prevailing." Mr. Machen
points out that testimony showing pro-
vocation can now be admitted only by in-
direct or subterfuge, and when intro-
duced is usually rebutted by the State;
whereas, if the provocation "was a mat-
ter which might be lawfully considered
by the State would be permitted to show
that in fact no such provocation existed."

Enacting the "Unwritten Law."

Lewis H. Machen, of Alexandria, Va.,
a member of the Virginia State senate,
advocates, in the current number of the
Independent, the enactment of the so-
called unwritten law into the statutory
code in order that juries may acquit,
without violating their oaths, persons ac-
cused of homicide committed in revenge
for wrong upon the person of a woman.
Mr. Machen assumes that "the com-
mon sense of mankind fully justifies him-
self in the invasion of martial rights or an
attack upon the virtue of the innocent;"
that juries will not convict for murder
done under such a provocation, but will
ignore the law and the judge's charge
and the sanctity of their own oaths; and
that, because of this, reverence for the
jury system is diminished and "the whole
administration of criminal law falls under
suspicion, if not reproach." Mr. Machen
says:

"It is perfectly well understood throughout
the civilized world that where a homicide is
committed under the provocation of an attack upon the sanctity
of the home there is no possibility of any consider-
able punishment. Yet comparatively few homicides
result from such causes. In cases in which they do
result officers of the law are frequently reluctant to
prosecute; witnesses fail to remember or deliberately
misstate facts; medical experts testify that the ac-
cused was the victim of emotional insanity which
disappeared as soon as the cause was removed, and
juries ignore the plain instructions of the courts.
The law suffers by being ignored or trampled upon,
but the cause of morality is not advanced.

"It is no time that lawmakers and judges were
recognizing the fact that in such cases juries will
invariably consider the sufficiency of the provocation,
and, if, in their judgment, the provocation is
sufficient they will find verdicts of acquittal. Would
any sane man, therefore, to deprive the law of its
right to determine the adequacy of the provocation? It
is a right which they do not hesitate to exercise.
Would anything be lost to the cause of order by
conceding this right to them as a matter of law?"

Mr. Machen therefore proposes a statute
authorizing the jury to acquit where
provocation is deemed sufficient to justify
crime committed in retaliation for a
wrong upon the person of a woman. He
points out that several States have taken
steps in this direction, one of them,
Texas, having made the killing of another
by an aggrieved husband, under certain
circumstances, a justifiable homicide. To
the objection most frequently offered to
such a statute as he proposes, that "men
might be encouraged by such a law to
kill persons upon slight suspicion," Mr.
Machen replies that "the statute sug-
gested would be restricted in its ap-
plication to cases in which the provocation
shall have been proven to be real. Under
such a statute no man would be permitted
to act rashly upon mere suspicion or
hearsay and claim justification. Such a
claim is made in just such cases under
the practice now prevailing." Mr. Machen
points out that testimony showing pro-
vocation can now be admitted only by in-
direct or subterfuge, and when intro-
duced is usually rebutted by the State;
whereas, if the provocation "was a mat-
ter which might be lawfully considered
by the State would be permitted to show
that in fact no such provocation existed."

Enacting the "Unwritten Law."

Lewis H. Machen, of Alexandria, Va.,
a member of the Virginia State senate,
advocates, in the current number of the
Independent, the enactment of the so-
called unwritten law into the statutory
code in order that juries may acquit,
without violating their oaths, persons ac-
cused of homicide committed in revenge
for wrong upon the person of a woman.
Mr. Machen assumes that "the com-
mon sense of mankind fully justifies him-
self in the invasion of martial rights or an
attack upon the virtue of the innocent;"
that juries will not convict for murder
done under such a provocation, but will
ignore the law and the judge's charge
and the sanctity of their own oaths; and
that, because of this, reverence for the
jury system is diminished and "the whole
administration of criminal law falls under
suspicion, if not reproach." Mr. Machen
says:

"It is perfectly well understood throughout
the civilized world that where a homicide is
committed under the provocation of an attack upon the sanctity
of the home there is no possibility of any consider-
able punishment. Yet comparatively few homicides
result from such causes. In cases in which they do
result officers of the law are frequently reluctant to
prosecute; witnesses fail to remember or deliberately
misstate facts; medical experts testify that the ac-
cused was the victim of emotional insanity which
disappeared as soon as the cause was removed, and
juries ignore the plain instructions of the courts.
The law suffers by being ignored or trampled upon,
but the cause of morality is not advanced.

"It is no time that lawmakers and judges were
recognizing